

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSI ONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/470,343	1	2/22/1999	Bernardo Martinez-Tovar	P-1583	6032
20978	7590	04/11/2002			
LIBERT &			EXAMINER		
3 MILL POND LANE P O BOX 538				CHAMBERS, TROY	
SIMSBURY, CT 06070-0538				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3641	
				DATE MAILED: 04/11/2002	DATE MAILED: 04/11/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

` 1							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer	09/470,343	MARTINEZ-TOVAR ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Troy Chambers	3641					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rej. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will by statu. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b) Status	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC tell cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely INTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U S C § 133)					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority documen 	ts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International But See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Ç					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	tic priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
 a) The translation of the foreign language pr 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 							
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species A is directed to the semiconductor bridge igniter containing a polysilicon layer 22 and a substrate 12 containing a silicon and silicon dioxide layer in combination (fig. 2). Species B is directed to the semiconductor bridge igniter containing a crystalline silicon layer 22' and a substrate 12' containing a sapphire substate (fig. 4). Species C is directed to the method using the species A embodiment. Species D is directed to the method of using the species B embodiment.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic to all species.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/470,343 Page 3

Art Unit: 3641

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:
- 3. Species [A, B] and [C, D] are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the igniter could be used with a method that does not include metalling and vaporizing the semi-conductor material (see claims 1, 12 and 18).
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Troy Chambers whose telephone number is (703) 308-5870. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael J. Carone, can be reached at (703) 306-4198.

Application/Control Number: 09/470,343 Page 4

Art Unit: 3641

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-4195.

TECHNOLOGY 2000